

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,879	01/23/2004	Donald L. Payne	Payne	6007
7:	590 05/02/2006		EXAM	INER
Joseph H. Beumer			TRAN, HANH VAN	
Suite 1602 D			ARTIBUT	DA DED AUD (DED
555 Sparkman I	Drive		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Huntsville, AL 35816			3637	
		DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006	DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/763,879	PAYNE, DONALD L.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Hanh V. Tran	3637		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 F 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the second s	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 10-15 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-2, 4, 10-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the land drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)		(070, 440)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/763,879 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the Final Office Action from the examiner in charge of this application in response to applicant's amendment dated 2/16/2006.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 4, 10-15 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 4, line 4, "of said of said" should be "of said". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1, since line 14 already recited "a sheet panel support, the recitation on line 15 of "a sheet panel support" renders the claim, and its depending claims, indefinite for failing to clearly define whether it is the same as the sheet panel support recited on line 14. Should applicant's intent is to claim a "sheet panel support and tensioner", then it should be rephrased such that it is clear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/763,879

Art Unit: 3637

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 3

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 4, 10, 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fridolph in view of USP 4,800,947 to Loomis.

Fridolph discloses a decorative cabinet door assembly comprising all the elements recited in the above listed claims including, such as shown in Figs 3-5, a generally rectangular frame 40 including an upper frame member, a lower frame member disposed across from said upper frame member, a pair of opposing side members and an open space defined between said members; a flexible sheet panel 42 covering said open space and removably extending straight through a slot in one of said upper and lower members to an outer edge of said one member, said flexible sheet member also extending straight into an opposed one of said upper and lower members; said panel 42 including at opposing ends thereof sheet panel support/tensioner 34 for applying tension force to the panel; and said first and second frame members including means for receiving said panel ends and for retaining the same until removed; wherein said sheet comprises a fabric, a groove 48,50 along an outer edge of said one of said upper and lower members and communicating in parallel relation with said slot. Fridolph discloses all the elements as discussed above except for said sheet panel support comprises an upper, tubular loop contiguous with and formed from said fabric sheet panel and extending across an upper side of said of said panel, a lower loop extending across a lower side of said panel, a first dowel pin disposed in said upper

upper and lower members.

loop, a second dowel pin disposed in said lower loop, said groove sized to receive a one of said first dowel pin and a second dowel pin, so that a respective said dowel pin is insertable through a said first tubular loop or second tubular loop after said tubular lop is passed through said slot, thereby securing said sheet panel in place in said one of said

Page 4

Loomis teaches the an alternate structure of providing an assembly for suspending a flexible sheet material in taut condition comprising an upper loop extending across an upper side of said of said panel, a lower loop extending across a lower side of said panel, a first dowel pin disposed in said upper loop, a second dowel pin disposed in said lower loop in order to facilitate installation and removal of the flexible sheet material. Fridolph also discloses the end portions sheet panel support 34 received in the grooves 48,50 to secure the sheet panel in place in said one of said upper and lower members. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the structure of Fridolph by providing said sheet panel support comprising an upper loop extending across an upper side of said of said panel, a lower loop extending across a lower side of said panel, a first dowel pin disposed in said upper loop, a second dowel pin disposed in said lower loop in order to facilitate installation and removal of the flexible sheet material, as taught by Loomis, such that said groove sized to receive a one of said first dowel pin and a second dowel pin, so that a respective said dowel pin is insertable through a said first tubular loop or second tubular loop after said tubular lop is passed through said slot, thereby securing said sheet panel in place in said one of said upper and lower members, since both teach alternate conventional of providing an

Application/Control Number: 10/763,879 Page 5

Art Unit: 3637

assembly for suspending a flexible sheet material in taut condition, used for the same intended purpose, thereby providing structure as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 11, 14-15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed 2/16/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument on page 2 that Fridolph fails to disclose a sheet panel support disposed along an outer edge of one of the upper and lower frame members, the examiner takes the position that the claimed language fails to clearly "an outer edge", thus the outer edge of the frame members of Fridolph being defined as the edge portion facing the reader as shown in Fig 3, with the opposite side as the inner edge.
- 10. In response to applicant's argument that it is not seen how the mounting brackets of Loomis may be used in combination with the decorative purposes of Fridolph, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

Art Unit: 3637

Further, Loomis is used for the teaching of the elements as stated in the above-art rejection, not the teaching of its brackets.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-6868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, and alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,879 Page 7

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HVT #VT April 30, 2006

> LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

I man